

**From:** Roy Seneca/R3/USEPA/US  
**Sent:** 3/19/2012 5:21:36 PM  
**To:**  
**CC:**  
**Subject:** Fw: Follow up

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Betsaida Alcantara  
**Sent:** 03/19/2012 05:17 PM EDT  
**To:** Roy Seneca; Terri-A White  
**Subject:** Fw: Follow up

Pls track this down. I'll take care of the first question.

----- Forwarded by Betsaida Alcantara/DC/USEPA/US on 03/19/2012 05:16 PM -----

**From:** Abrahm Lustgarten <Abrahm.Lustgarten@propublica.org>  
**To:** Betsaida Alcantara/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
**Date:** 03/19/2012 04:25 PM  
**Subject:** Follow up

Hi Betsaida,

Thanks for chatting. Just to confirm the two follow-ups –

- Is the decision to release news about Dimock inconsistent with what happened in Pavillion and why?
- Why are some of the following not characterized in EPA's statement about Dimock's water released Thursday:
  - o Low levels of pyrene, benzoprene, fluorine, fluoranthene, dinutrotoluene, hexachlorobenzene, phthalates, etc?
  - o High levels of methane with Ethane, which normally signifies thermogenic sourcing.
  - o Metals including chromium, lithium and lead – Lithium in particular are fairly high levels in some samples.

Thank you,  
Abrahm Lustgarten  
917-589-1262